

Soccer Forum

At the time of writing this editorial sightings are still coming in at a fairly rapid rate. Stephen Lewis, an SF subscriber, recently informed me of a landing or near-landing that happened in April 1964. The details are rather vague, but the sighting is very interesting. The place was a field in Harlington, the exact date not known. The UFO was landed or hovering low, and was ringed by police for 20 minutes before it took off again. Police explanation? A meteor.

Two interesting sightings from Norwich were sent to me by Peter F. Johnson, another subscriber. On October 20 a man was cycling along and he saw green, red and yellow lights racing across the sky. Then something hot hit him, burning through his clothing, but leaving him unharmed except for a small mark on his skin (Report in Eastern Daily Press, 21. 10. 64). The other sighting was on August 31, and appeared to be a very large star which was making a humming noise. The time was 3.40. a.m. (Report in Eastern Evening News, 4. 9. 64).

The "favoured ones" have been further favoured (see THE FAVOURED ONES, 2/- from SAUCER FORUM). On November 28 Frederick Tyrce saw another UFO. It was moving erratically towards Newton Heath, its colour changing from silver to pale gold. The time was 5.36 a.m. Also Derek Andrews has seen more UFOs. These are described later in this issue.

Though every effort will be made to get it out on time, the next issue may be delayed or even omitted due to television scriptwriting work I may be doing in the near future. If it is omitted, you will of course not be charged for it.

[illegible]

(aj) Dear Jimmy,

The article published in your last publication, sent in by Steinberg, is a deliberate lie. In the first place - if he had read Professor Homet's book he would have known that Homet discovered these kind of prints years after my contact. Two years ago, in Denmark, I lectured with Professor Homet on the same platform. He, not I, was asking about the footprints and what they meant to me - and what he found out through the research. As these markings he found - he claimed they dated way back - proving that Visitors from space have been coming here for a long time. As far as claiming NICAP for his statement, it is totally false. For NICAP was given an affidavit by a witness of the incident on the railroad with a promise of publishing it - which they did NOT publish. There are many things which NICAP has not published of mine. Just a month ago, I believe I cleared the air of these errors when I was asked similar questions regarding NICAP on Bob Kennedy's program "Contact". As far as my former witnesses to the contact are concerned, they had

nothing to do with it except as witnesses to the contact. As far as Chrysler hubcaps are concerned - how stupid can a person get to not be able to tell the difference between a hubcap and something else. Ever since 1952, smart guys tried hard to discredit the photographs by these methods, but none of them were able to accomplish this deed. It is this kind of character who keeps the truth away from the majority of the people. These photographs of mine are now being used as models for our future space craft by intelligent people. It is laughable that overnight all kinds of experts have risen regarding nature's laws, when they don't even know what makes the heart beat. Like Jesus said, "Blind lead the blind", and we have plenty of them. They don't know what dignity is - all they can live by is rubbish.

George Adamski, 314, Lado de Loma Drive, Vista, California, U.S.A.

(ak) Dear Jimmy,

Mr. Steinberg for some time has criticised my work and I have let it go without comment for I thought it would only further his cause of destroying any serious attempt at an investigation of this enigma; however the time has come to state the facts as they really exist and not as Steinberg would have them. Mr. Steinberg states that I have only a casual interest in the flying saucer phenomena as well as lacking the proper investigative techniques to properly study this mystery. This is most untrue. I have personally investigated this phenomenon for the past 4 years. During that period of time I have written articles about my findings which have appeared in many leading journals on the subject. I have also written a volume entitled INSIDE THE SAUCERS and have been editor of INTERPLANETARY NEWS SERVICE REPORT since December 1961. I let my record stand for my accomplishments while the only record Eugene has is one that every researcher he has had any reasonable amount of contact with has shunned. His uncalled-for attacks on others has only led him further down the path to abolishment. I let the records show who is a better authority on flying saucers. Yes, Jimmy, there is a Eugene Steinberg but we'd rather go on doing our best without him.

Timothy Green Beckley, 3, Courtland Street, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08901, U.S.A.

(al) Dear Jimmy,

I have no wish to annoy Mr. Steinberg, but I feel I must comment on this type of letter. Adamski and other contactees have come in for much unwarranted criticism from sceptics, and it is surely now a case of "Let him that readeth understand". As Master Kuthumi says in Brother Philip's book "Secret of the Andes" - "Those who are now calling other people's material 'phony'...they are the ones to look out for, because we need not point a finger at anyone if we have Truth...Those who are working with the Christ Light need prove nothing. Their own work is their calling card. 'By their works ye shall know them; by their fruits

ye shall know them'. Is this not true?"

I am sorry Mr. Steinberg, and others who may feel anxious about our credulity, but those who truly work for the New Age need prove nothing. "He that hath an ear, let him hear".

Dave Hicks, 28, Cotteswold Road, Gloucester, Gloucestershire.

(am) Dear Jimmy,

My latest sightings occurred on the afternoon of Thursday December 3, 1964. It was my half-day, but Len our van-driver had to work so I asked if I could go out on the van with him. I was going to try and sabotage a hunt, but when I learned we were going in the opposite direction I said, "Let's look for flying saucers then". I started muttering HOJ-63 (a "telepathic call-sign" I had been given by a friend in Blackburn, Lancashire, several months ago - J.G.). We had just gone through Yeovil's shopping centre when I saw the first UFO. We were looking at a jet 'plane when we saw a rather vague object stationary just above the jet. After about another minute we looked up again and Len stopped the van. The object had come much lower and was like a disjointed aircraft. We started off again and had gone about two hundred yards when I quickly told Len to stop and look at two more UFOs. The jet was still about overhead when we saw a small cigar-like object, followed by a larger oval object completely round and shining very brightly. These rushed past the jet; height could not be ascertained but they seemed to be lower than the jet. Judging the jet to be travelling at about 450 m.p.h., I would judge the two smaller objects to be travelling at 1,200 m.p.h. (giving a low estimate).

Shortly afterwards all four objects vanished into cloud. The white oval object could have been a balloon until I realised that the wind was North and fairly strong, and all of the objects were going in a North-west direction, which must mean that they were travelling under their own power. (Though it must be borne in mind here that winds can blow in different directions at different altitudes - J.G.). The time was approximately 2.50 p.m. and in broad daylight. We were looking for UFOs. The jet was probably from the R.N.A.S. Station at Yeovilton.

Derek Andrews, 66, Westfield Road, Yeovil, Somerset.

(I will apologise here for not including some letters which I said I would, but more material came in than I thought, and there just wasn't the space - J.G.).

REPORT FROM MARINER II

C.A. Honey.

(Reprinted from the S.P. Newsletter by permission of C.A. Honey).

Most of my readers have no doubt read the newspaper reports disclosing the findings of Mariner 2. Many were disturbed at the reports of surface temperatures in the order of 600° on the surface of Venus. Measurements from earth had already shown a Venusian temperature of 600° which was fully explained in Mr. Adamski's last book, Flying Saucers Farewell. (P. 60-62). Measurements from earth also agreed with Mariner 2's report on the cloud layers, minus 60° at the upper level to -30° F. at the lower levels. Let's look into this business of temperature measurement a little closer and see just what gives with this new (or is it) information.

Let's look at the earth first and see what the picture is here. Those who have studied books on the ionosphere will realize that it consists of several layers of different characteristics, named the D, E and F layers. These are broken down into layers called E1 and E2 and also F1 and F2. Similar layers no doubt exist around all planets.

If we could start out at the surface of the earth and measure the temperature as we went upwards we would find that it got colder and colder as we increased altitude. This would continue until it reached about -75° F. This varies of course so the figures given here and elsewhere are approximations.

The E layer reaches from approximately 50 to 100 miles, with the F layer above that. In reaching an altitude of 60 miles we would be amazed to find the temperature had climbed to -3° F. By the time we had reached an altitude of 120 miles the outside temperature would be about 1200° F. At 180 miles it would be about 1700° F, dropping above that to temperatures between 725° to 1527° at 240 miles altitude. At 300 miles up we would find layers running from 1100 to 2400° F. Rocket probes in recent months measured layers averaging from 4000° F to 6000° F. Now a new factor enters the picture.

The high temperatures measured around earth are not heat temperatures as we know it. Instead it is a measurement of what is termed "kinetic" temperature. Another term for this is electron temperature. When solar radiation enters and is absorbed by the ionosphere, photo-electrons are emitted that have energies much greater than those of the existing atmospheric particles. If energy continues to be built up in this process temperatures can go extremely high (kinetic temp.). As an example we have kinetic temperatures around the sun reaching 2 million degrees while the surface has a measured (according to conventional science) temperature of only 6000° .

What is kinetic temperature in everyday language? It is not heat. It can be illustrated in this manner. If we drop water on a hot stove

it will form into little bubbles which dance all around, the hotter the stove the faster they dance. The same thing applies to the small particles in the higher atmosphere. The more energy they have the faster they vibrate (dance). The speed of vibration corresponds to their equivalent temperatures. In the F layer around earth only a very small portion of the absorbed solar radiation appears as heat. At least 95% into the excitation of the energy levels of the molecules and is radiated as kinetic heat, not real heat as we know it. It seems logical to me that Venus should have a much higher kinetic temperature than the earth's 6000° level since it is closer to the sun and would receive particles travelling at a higher speed. Its magnetic field should be three to four times stronger than the earth's, despite the fact that Mariner 2 failed to measure it.

The secret of the high readings on Venus lies in the method used to obtain the readings. In the case of Mariner 2 the method used was radio-metric. This means that the detector was nothing more than a radio receiver designed to scan for electromagnetic radiation on definite wavelengths or frequencies. The scanning mechanism malfunctioned and failed to scan as was planned. Instead it made only three slow passes across the planet and all the readings were determined from that.

According to the theory, as the microwave radiometer scanned the planet it should detect larger electron concentrations around the limb or edge of the planetary disk if the heat was from the ionosphere. If the heat was from the surface a limb to limb scan would show gradual changes. From such faulty methods great pronouncements are made. The temperature readings were radio emissions originating from the upper atmosphere of Venus, probably at ionospheric levels, and compared to earth readings were below normal instead of true readings.

It should be noted that the kinetic temperature of high altitude cloud layers might be in the order of 600 to 800 degrees and the infra red (true heat) scanner would measure a cloud temperature of many degrees below zero. The two temperatures could co-exist. The methods of heat measurement, if applied also to the earth from a probe at similar distances, would show the earth as uninhabitable for human life because of temperatures beyond the melting point of lead.

[illegible]

BRITISH UFO RESEARCH ASSOCIATION BRAINS TRUST MEETING OCTOBER 31, 1964.

The panel members at this very interesting meeting were Mr. Gordon Creighton, Mr. Gavin Gibbons, Mr. Graham Knewstubb (President of BUFORA.), and Mr. Brian Winder. The chairman was Dr. G.G. Doel, BUFORA.'s vice-chairman and central information officer.

The first question put to the panel asked why astronomers did not support UFOlogy. The reasons given for this were that firstly, although

telescopes were good for studying large objects a long way off, they were almost useless for small, near, fast-moving objects because of their limited field of vision. Also it was said that astronomers are apparently ignorant of the evidence for UFOs, though there was a rumour that von Braun had said we were face to face with extraterrestrial powers.

Another question asked that as many UFOs appear to dematerialise, did this suggest they came from another plane? Mr. Knowstub told of a time he had seen a helicopter apparently dematerialise, due to ground mist. Also there was an account of a cigar appearing over a French town, vanishing when aircraft approached, reappearing when they had gone.

The next question dealt with the similarity between the hieroglyphics on Adamski's photo plate and those discovered by Professor Homet on a prehistoric rock in Brazil. Mr. Creighton said that as the hieroglyphics in Homet's book were not the actual picture found on the rock, but made up of symbols from many rocks, the similarity was non-existent. However, on writing to Adamski, I found out that the book was never meant to be read that they were the actual symbols all discovered on one rock, but the fact that the symbols themselves were similar suggests a similar language in both cases.

Then it was asked whether any light was able to be shed on the Templeton photograph, in which a mystery "spaceman" had appeared. At the time of the meeting the "iron curtain of security had dropped with a thud", but that before this had happened quite a bit of information had leaked through. One thing was that Mr. Templeton had said the cattle and sheep in a neighboring field were huddled together as if a storm was brewing, though there was no sign of one. It was suggested that the electromagnetic effect of an invisible UFO had caused this, and if the camera had been pointed towards this it would have come out on the photo as well. Gavin Gibbons stated that this security curtain should never be tolerated in a democratic country, and anyone who came up against it should make a great fuss about it.

After this there was a break for refreshments, and after this it was asked what evidence there was for Earth bases for UFOs. It was said there was no evidence except the hearsay of some contactees, but this was only natural since they would not want our intrusion in their bases.

When it was asked what evidence there was for hostility in UFOs, it was agreed that there was no evidence of real hostility; all the casualties so far reported could have been accidents. With the power at their disposal, they could easily wipe us all out if they wished.

Another question asked if there was any light shed on UFO colour changes. It was stated that these were similar to those colour changes caused by heat inside the tailpipe of a jet plane. Also it was said that a large diameter jet covering the whole diameter of a disc would be very quiet and would not need much pressure per square inch to lift the craft.

This again was very interesting. We saw photographs by Adamski, Matsumura (a Japanese UFOlogist) and the now famous Alex Birch picture projected on a screen.

The lecturer also said that this phenomena was in Adamski's picture taken at Desert Center, and that the UFO was a bright area near the centre of the picture, not the black object on top of the hills, as was earlier thought. However, on writing to Adamski I have found out that the saucer was indeed the black object.

MORE SIGHTINGS

Editor: Jimmy Goddard, Wynchlands, Walton Bridge Road, Shepperton,
Middlesex. Telephone: Walton-on-Thames 24814. Letters represent only
the writer's point of view. Please keep letters short and to the point.
Back numbers and single issues 1/6. 4/6 for four issues. Exchange
invited.

X-X~X~X-X